Case Report:

Endogenous adult Rhinolith

* Arvind Kinger, Mallika Kawatra

Name of the Institute/college: SAIMS Medical College, Indore, MP, India *Corresponding author : Dr. Arvind Kinger

ABSTRACT:

The incidence of adult rhinolith is very low. Nasal foreign bodies lead to unilateral nasal symptom like nasal obstruction or discomfort with or without foul smell discharge. Rhinoliths are mineralized deposits inside the nasal cavity. We report a case of a 29-year-old female patient who was absolutely unaware of the foreign body in her right nasal cavity. She complained of difficulty in breathing with foul smelling discharge through the right nostril since last one year. The endogenous rhinolith was seen in anterior rhinoscopy. CT-Scan was advised which showed a dense foreign body in her right nostril. The stone was removed under general anaesthesia with the help of endoscope. The possible genesis and review of literature of rhinolith is discussed.

Key words: Rhinolith

INTRODUCTION

Rhinoliths are calcareous deposits inside the nasal cavity. They are mixture of 90% inorganic material and10% organic substances incorporated into the lesion from nasal secretions¹. They are classified into two types viz Exogenous and Endogenous. Exogenous rhinolith are more common, formed due to concretions around impacted foreign body². Endogenous rhinolith are uncommon and develop spontaneously with deposition of mineral around accumulated secretions^{3,4}. The symptoms depend upon the size of rhinolith and may range from unilateral nasal discharge, rhinitis, sinusitis, facial pain, headache, epistaxis, complete nasal obstruction, fetor, anosmia, palatal perforation^{3,5}, and septal perforation⁶. The duration of the history may range from months to decades⁷. The diagnosis is established on the basis of the medical history, anterior rhinoscopy, nasal endoscopy and radiological examination.

CASE HISTORY

A 29-year-old female presented with right nasal blockage for last one year. The symptom became gradually worsened. It was associated with intermittent nasal discharge. There was no history of pain, epistaxis, trauma or foreign body insertion in the nose. External examination of the nose was unremarkable. On anterior rhinoscopy, there was presence of yellowish-white material between inferior turbinate and septum in the right nasal cavity. It was stony hard and gritty on probing. There were no intranasal mass or polyps. CT-Scan revealed a dense shadow in right nostril [fig 1, 2]. The probable diagnosis of rhinolith was made. Nasal endoscopic examination under general anesthesia showed a stony mass between inferior turbinate and septum [fig 3]. The stone was successfully removed [fig 4]. She was discharged on the next day with no post-op complications.

Fig 1: Axial section showing opaque mass



Fig 2: Coronal section showing opaque mass in the inferior meatus



Fig 3: Endoscopic picture of rhinolith



Fig 4: Rhinolith



DISCUSSION

The term rhinolith was first coined in 1845 to describe a partially or completely encrusted foreign body in the nose⁸. Rhinoliths are usually unilateral but an unusual case of bilateral rhinolithiasis has been reported in the literature⁹. In most of the cases, the rhinolith is located in the inferior nasal meatus⁹. Although examples of endogenous source of rhinoliths have been reported, the exogenous source is more common. Endogenous rhinoliths are those that have developed around the body's own material such as ectopic teeth in the maxillary sinus, bone sequesters, dried blood clots and inspissated mucus in the nasal cavity^{10,11}. The literature of living foreign body (leech) have also been reported¹². Reports of rhinoliths with severe complications such as perforation of hard palate, bony destruction, extension of stone into the maxillary sinus and septal perforation are also present in literature^{5,6,8,13}. The diagnosis of rhinolith is usually clinical. It can be confirmed by using nasal endoscopy. The rhinolith can form around a nidus of materials without being noticed by the patient. It is sometimes identified accidentally on routine radiological investigation for other problem and sometimes even the nidus could not be identified¹⁴. Radiological investigations including X-ray and CT-Scan demonstrate the exact location, dimension and its invasion into surrounding areas^{15,16}.The treatment for rhinolith is removal preferably under general anaesthesia (GA), as done in our case. Local anaesthesia is not advisable because of the size and concretion of the material which may induce intolerable pain, massive epistaxis. Large impacted rhinolith can be crushed as they are friable and can be removed in piecemeal. Surgical correction of nasal septum and inferior turbinate can be done if required for better exposure and removal¹⁷.The differential diagnosis of opaque mass include calcifying angiofibroma, chondrosarcoma, chondroma, osteosarcoma, and calcifying polyps.

CONCLUSION

The diagnosis of rhinolith should be suspected in a patient with history of long standing unilateral foul smelling nasal discharge.

The confirmation of diagnosis is done by nasal endoscopy and radiological examination. The procedure is preferably done under GA to ensure complete removal of rhinolith with minimal trauma to surrounding tissues.

REFERENCES

- H. U. Nover and O. W. Flörke. "Rhinolith—clinical and mineralogic aspects," Laryngologie Rhinologie Otologie, 1983;vol. 62, no. 9:419–421
- 2. Turan A, Gozu. A Cleft lip/nose deformity and rhinolith. Plas Reconstr Surgery 2004; 113:79-80
- 3. D. Linnert. "Exogene Ursachen für die Entstehung von Nasensteinen," Zeitschrift für Laryngologie, Rhinologie, otologie und ihre Grenzgebiete. 1966;vol. 45, no. 8 :524–528,
- 4. H. Olbrich."Rhinolith in einem Gaumendefekt," HNO, 1965;vol. 13:116-117
- T. R. Flood. "Rhinolith: an unusual cause of palatal perforation," British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 1988;vol. 26, no. 6:486–490
- S. Kharoubi. "Rhinolithiasis associated with septal perforation a case report," Acta oto-Rhino-Laryngologica Belgica. 1998;vol. 52, no. 3:241–245
- 7. K. Orhan, D. Kocyigit, R. Kisnisci, et al "Rhinolithiasis: an uncommon entity of the nasal cavity," Oral Surgery, oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology, 2006;vol. 101, no. 2: E28–E32
- 8. C. J. Polson. "On rhinoliths," Journal of Laryngology & Otology, 1943; vol. 58, no. 3: 79-116
- S. Kharoubi. "Revue générale sur les rhinolithiases," Annales d'Oto-Laryngologie et de Chirurgie Cervico-Faciale, 2008;vol. 125, no. 1:11–17
- O. Davis and A. Wolff. "Rhinolithiasis and maxillary antrolithiasis," Ear, Nose and Throat Journal, 1985; vol. 64, no. 9: 421–426
- 11. L. C.-K. Shaw. "Rhinolith of endogenous origin: a rare entity," Surgical Practice, 2007; vol. 11, no.1: 48-50
- 12. S. Kumar, A. Dev, and L. K. Kochhar, "Living leech in nose and nasopharynx: an unusual foreign body," Indian Journal of Otolaryngology; 1989;vol. 41, no. 4:160–161
- 13. L. S. S. Pinto, E. B. Campagnoli, R. de Souza Azevedo, et al, "Rhinoliths causing palatal perforation: case report and literature review," Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology, 2007;

vol. 104, no. 6: e42–e46

- 14. Patil K, Guledgud MV, Malleshi SN. Rhinolith. Indian J Dent Res. 2009;20(1):114-6.
- Brehmer D, Riemann R. The rhinolith a possible differential diagnosis of a unilateral nasal obstruction. Case Reports In Medicine. Volume 2010 (2010), Article ID 845671, 4 pages: doi:10.1155/2010/845671
- 16. Yuca K, Caksen H, Etlik O, et al. The importance of rigid nasal endoscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of rhinolithiasis. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2006;33(1):19-22.
- 17. Kaushik V, Bhalla RK, Pahade A. Rhinolithiasis. Ear Nose Throat J. 2004;83(3):512, 514.

Date of submission: 18 December 2013 Date of Final acceptance: 19 February 2014 Source of support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: Nil Date of Provisional acceptance: 29 December 2013 Date of Publication: 04 March 2014